

**Supermassive Black Holes: Enviroment and Evolution** CORFU, 19-22 June 2019





Black-hole demographics from multi The environment of black holes & WHIM II. Black-hole growth in the context of galaxy evolution dback processes and their impact on kpc and Mr



## **The binary supermassive black hole conjecture for one jetted gamma-ray blazar**

## **Stefano Ciprini**

**1. National Institute for Nuclear Physics (INFN), Roma Tor Vergata Section 2. Space Science Data Center, Italian Space Agency (SSDC ASI), Roma**

On behalf of the Fermi LAT CollaborationIn collaboration mainly with **Sara Cutini**, **Stefan Larsson**



*Supermassive Black Holes: Enviroment and Evolution*19-22 June 2019, Corfu, Greece



# **BHs, SMBHs and binary-SMBHs**



**SMBHs** 

Protogalaxy

Mergers of protogalaxies

 $10$ 

Pop III

 $10$ 

stellar seeds

Nuclear cluster

generation stars

3  $\overline{4}$ 

Nuclear cluster

in protogalaxy

Lookback time (Billions of years)

Direct collapse

 $\Box$  Stellar black holes (BHs): ubiquitous, widespread in all galaxies. BHs formed<br>when the first stars started to form and sontinued to form until the present era when the first stars started to form and continued to form until the present era.

□ Super Massive Black Holes (SMBHs): ubiquitous in the center of galaxies.<br>SMBHs are formed from seeds, and formed early at the sesmis dawn. SMBHs are formed from seeds, and formed early at the cosmic dawn. → Understanding galaxy bulges sheds light on SMBHs growth.

 $\Box$  Black hole mass desert. Intermediate mass BHs still not detected or a natural genetic divide (inhabited) 3.4 seeds migration consequence 3 natural genetic divide (inhabited) ? A seeds-migration consequence ? Transitional object population (clustering/accretion of stellar building blocks)?

 $\Box$  History of the Universe: hierarchical structure formation, galaxy mergers,<br>  $\Box$  History of the Universe: Nepul pairs  $\triangle$  SMPH binaries





## **SMBHs pairs/binaries**







## **Observational evidence for SMBHs pairs/binaries**





Quasi periodicity in light curves (still controversial topic)

 $\square$  Many binary SMBHs candidates but few non-controversial confirmational Mby so four 3 Large distances (difficult to resolve). confirmations! Why so few ? Large distances (difficult to resolve). Perhaps obscured. Distinguish from other phenomena (in-jet knots, lensing, etc.). Close pairs: current methods require at least one SMBH to be active.

 $\square$  Big challenge: to identify inactive binary SMBHs (the most abundant maybe). But they are also the most difficult to identify abundant maybe). But they are also the most difficult to identify. Most binary SMBHs may form quiescently either in gas-poor or minor galaxy mergers without driving AGN activities.



4850

4900

4950

5000

5050

**Q** Pair of accreting SMBH in "single" galaxies<br>(spatially resolved 10 ns to 100 ns) (spatially resolved 10-pc to 100-pc)

**Q Spatially unresolved (close if <0.1pc) binary**<br>SMBHs: SMBHs:

- from claims of quasi-periodic variability signatures:
- from observed helical/distorted/x-shape radio jets
- from observed double-peaked broad lines:
- other evidences: candidate TDEs, recoils, more exotic ones.



400

500



### **PG 1553+113: 9.5-year Fermi LAT gamma-ray light curves**



rge Area Telescope (LAT)  $\overline{t}$ 1.1.2009 1.1.2010 1.1.2011 1.1.2012 1.1.2013 1.1.2014 1.1.2015 1.1.2016 1.1.2017 1.1.2018 ir conversion telescope **Fermi LAT**  $3.0$  $0$  MeV  $-$  > 300 GeV γJ preliminary uge field of view (2.4sr)  $\overline{\epsilon}$  $2.5$ sky any instar **all–sky SURVEY:**Flux ( $E > 1$  GeV)  $[10^{-1}]$  $2.0$ ky for 30' every 3h luge energy range uniformity, sensitivity  $1.5$ **Public data** depth, diffuse emission  $1.0$ science, populations Flux (E>1GeV) - 45 days time 00 total nb of pa  $0.5$ studies, serendipity, 100 MeV)  $[10^{-8}$  cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>]Flux (E > 100 MeV)  $[10^{-8}$  cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>] Flux (E>100MeV) - 45 days time  $12$ variability monitor, bin **NASA** 10 transients search, cross-correlation, crossm Cape Canaveral 11-6-200 match, time domain science, preliminar **Filmining** multifrequency $26$ Flux (E>100MeV) - 20 days time bin **NASA's Fermi Mission Finds Hints of**  astronomy, multi-**Gamma-ray Cycle in an Active Galaxy** 15 messenger astroparticlephysicsMULTIWAVELENGTH EVIDENCE FOR OUASI-PERIODIC MODULATION IN THE GAMMA-RAY BLAZAR PG 1553+113 55000 55500 56000 56500 57000 57500 58000



The light curve is fitted (green curve) with a coherent pulse consisting of 4 Fourier components.



 $\Box$  2015's paper claims confirmed by the 9.5-year dataset<br> $\Box$  Fermi LAT gamma ray flux (EN100MeV and EN1GeV) **□** Fermi LAT gamma-ray flux (E>100MeV and E>1GeV)

light curves (lc) of PG 1553+113. (updated 11-year baseline in the paper in completion).

**□** Regular/large-size time bins of 45-day and 20-day bin<br>size Jemperal analysis cross checks on adaptive bin and size. Temporal analysis cross-checks on adaptive bin and aperture photometry lcs.

 $\square$  Long-term 2.2-year period oscillating trend visually evident,<br>last oscillation more noisy. Prodicted oscillation maximum last oscillation more noisy. Predicted oscillation maximum observed. → 2.2-year quasi-periodicity in 4.5 cycles.<br>←





# **PG 1553+113: radio/optical/X-ray light curves**

ğ







#### □ 9.5-year LAT gamma-ray flux (E>100MeV 20-day bin)<br>light surve of PG 1552+112, (red datapoints) light curve of PG 1553+113 (red datapoints).

### $\Box$  12.5-year optical (R-band) light curve of PG 1553+113<br>(grov datapoints), PeterTuerla KVA monitor Cotalina CSS archive

(grey datapoints). Data: Tuorla+KVA monitor + Catalina CSS archive + KAIT monitor + Swift UVOT. Swift program on PG 1553+113 since 2015.

Multifrequency flux light curves built at: X-ray, optical (R and V bands) and radio (15 GHz) band.

X-ray data obtained with Swift-XRT (thanks to past MW campaigns and dedicated follow-up program on PG 1553+113 started on Dec.2014).

 $\rightarrow$  Rossi-XTE (ASM) and Swift-BAT also under re-analysis.<br> $\rightarrow$  Ontical band, is assembled with Tuorla monitoring program

→ Optical band is assembled with Tuorla monitoring program, with Katzman Automatic Imaging Telescope (KAIT) monitoring data Katzman Automatic Imaging Telescope (KAIT) monitoring data Catalina Sky Survey (CSS) data and follow-up of *Swift*-UVOT.

Radio 15 GHz from 40 **B** Radio 15 GHz from 40<br>OVRO (Richards+ 2011) and from MOJAVE(Lister+ 2009)

**Optical-Gamma-ray Discrete Cross-Corr function**

 $-200$ 

Optical-gamma-ray crosscorrelation supports periodicity: 1) optical covers additional time epochs, more backwards in time 2) the optical-gamma energy bands can be described with similar periodicity plus erratic faster variations.

But optical/gamma noise and sampling different → found similar<br>quasi periodicity strengthen its quasi periodicity strengthen its **a** reality. Cross-corr. significance > 95%.

200





□ 2D plane contour plot of the continuous wavelet transform (CWT, i.e. a 2D power density spectrum), a.k.a.<br>wavelet scalegram, of the 0.5 year, 20 day bin, LAT samma ray (5>100 MeV) light surve of PG 1552+112 wavelet scalogram, of the 9.5-year, 20-day bin, LAT gamma-ray (E>100 MeV) light curve of PG 1553+113.

 $\Box$  Morlet mother function (filled color contour). The right side panel shows the 1D smoothed (all-time-epochaveraged) power spectrum of the CWT scalogram. A signal power peak is in agreement with the 2.2 year value found with epoch fold/pulse shape analysis. This right side panel also include the Lomb-scargle Periodogram







### **PG 1553+113: EP, SF, DACF, PDS**



**Q** Cross checks with further analysis methods and functions of the<br>LAT 20 day bin, samma ray (ES100 MoV) light surve of BG 1552 L11 LAT 20-day bin, gamma-ray (E>100 MeV) light curve of PG 1553+113 are consistent with quasi-periodicity signal of T=2.2-year period.



#### 1st order Structure Function (SF) plot



Discrete Auto-Correlation function (DACF) plot



Epoch folded light curve (flux E>100 MeV 20-day bin)

The epoch folding / pulse shape analysis.

 The driving method in presence of a mostly regular sampling and coherent sinusoidal oscillations.

 Analysis based on period-folded and pulse shape light curve (4 Fourier components).

■ Power is confirmed at a gamma-ray characteristic periodical timescale of 2.2+/-0.2 years in all the 9.5-year LAT gamma-ray light curves.



FFT PDS using aperture photometry counts and exposure weighted light curve [*Credits NASA GSFC]*

Two approaches for signal significance estimation against the red-noise (analysis in progress on the 11 year dataset).







## **Open astrophysical scenarios for PG 1553+113**



 $\square$  Jet wobbling/precession/rotation/nutation on parsec scales (too short scale?).<br> $\square$  Curvature and bolical like structure of the relativistic ist, and/or of the radiatin  $\square$  Curvature and helical-like structure of the relativistic jet, and/or of the radiating in ict components (differential Deppler bulk beaming). in-jet components (differential Doppler bulk beaming)

 $\Box$  Alternatively disc-jet connection and symbiosis with induced quasi-periodical triggers and ejections (warned disks; accretion perturbations; intermittance triggers and ejections (warped disks; accretion perturbations; intermittence, MHD/magneto-rotational instabilities, MHD stresses…).

 $\Box$  Physical origin of jet wobbling is in changes in direction at the jet nozzle (disk<br>presession. GB Lonse Thirring, orbital Koplerian motion, ist nutation. precession, GR Lense-Thirring, orbital Keplerian motion, jet nutation, perturbations, thin disk warps Bardeen-Petterson effect, stresses) → binary SMBHs scenario.

 $\square$  Pulsational accretion flow instabilities (MDAF) approximating periodic behavior<br> $\rightarrow$  periodic modulations in energy outflow efficiency. Or mechanims similar to → periodic modulations in energy outflow efficiency. Or mechanims similar to<br>low-freg. OPO of Galactic high-mass binaries. ADAE-disks can give precessing is low-freq. QPO of Galactic high-mass binaries. ADAF-disks can give precessing jets. □ Binary, gravitationally bound, SMBH system (total mass of 1.6X10^8 Msun, milliparses separation early inspired gravitational wave driven regime. Kenleri milliparsec separation, early inspiral gravitational-wave driven regime. Keplerianbinary orbital motion with periodic accretion perturbations or jet nutation.





SMBHS ENVIR. & Evolution, Cortu, Greece,June 19-22, 2019 **SEPC CINFN** stefano.ciprini@ssdc.asi.it - SSDC & INFN Rome











### **Conclusions**



 $\square$  Time to consider supermassive BHs (SMBHs) in the search for (micro/nano-Hz)<br>GWs  $\rightarrow$  Next prespects for SKA, pext generation BTAs prejects and USA.  $GWs.$   $\rightarrow$  Next prospects for SKA, next generation PTAs projects and LISA.

 $\Box$  Indirect astrophysical evidence for sub-pc spatially unresolved binary-SMBHs<br>candidates (quasi periodis signals, ps.ssale distorted radio structures or belical candidates (quasi periodic signals, pc-scale distorted radio-structures or helical patterns in jets, double-peaked broad lines, etc.) is an interesting BUT very-debated topic.

 $\Box$  Blazar periodicity in blazar light curves is not a trivial problem and is not<br>a trivial data analysis. Strong claims needs strong evidence a trivial data analysis. Strong claims needs strong evidence.

**□** Multifrequency cross-correlations and polarization data are important. Beware of sparse data systematics, and ubiquitous red noise. sparse data, systematics, and ubiquitous red-noise.

 $\Box$  Periodicity can be also explained by a variety of mechanisms different by a binary<br>SMPH system. Applyay some astrophysics here works better with a binary system. SMBH system. Anyway some astrophysics here works better with a binary system.

Discovery of about 2-year gamma-ray (and optical) periodicity in PG 1553+113 seems coherent and maintained also in the 10 year *Fermi* LAT dataset, with improving significance. Well correlated gamma-ray and optical light curves (important!).

 $\square$  Importance of astrophysical knowledge about the universal accretion the universal accretion phenomenon in classical astrophysics  $\rightarrow$  provides a useful contribution to<br>accreting SMRH physics in AGN , to jets physics, to GW and multimessenge accreting SMBH physics in AGN, to jets physics, to GW and multimessenger physics.

 $\square$  Reasoning in a demography point of view:<br>1) the observed binary SMPH fraction:

1) the observed binary SMBH fraction;

2) nano-Nz gravitational radiation background and constraints by the current PTAs projects;  $\rightarrow$  smaller secondary BH masses and lower power AGN are likely<br>to be preferred: minor mergers are more likely to be observed electromagnetica to be preferred; minor mergers are more likely to be observed electromagnetically.

#### NASA-GSFC + Fermi LAT Press Release of Oct. 17, 2018 Fermi









