## **Reprocessing AGN radiation by Dust**



### **Manolis Xilouris Institute of Astronomy & Astrophysics National Observatory of Athens**

The many faces of AGN :: NOA 24 September 2008

# **Absorption coefficient and optical depth**

• Consider radiation shining through a layer of material. The intensity of light is found experimentally to decrease by an amount dl<sub>∧</sub> where  $|$  dl<sub>∧</sub>=- $\alpha_{\lambda}$ l<sub>∧</sub>ds. Here, ds is a length and  $\alpha_{_{\lambda}}$  is the absorption coefficient [cm<sup>-1</sup>]. The photon mean free path,  $l$  , is inversely proportional to  $\alpha_{_{\! \lambda}}.$ 



• Two physical processes contribute to light attenuation: (i) absorption where the photons are destroyed and the energy gets thermalized and (ii) scattering where the photon is shifted in direction and removed from the solid angle under consideration.

 $\bullet$  The radiation sees a combination of  $\mathsf{a}_{\lambda}$  and ds over some path length L, given by a dimensional quantity, the optical depth:

$$
\tau_{\lambda} = \int_{0}^{L} a_{\lambda} ds
$$

# **Importance of optical depth**

We can write the change in intensity over a path length as  $\;\; dI_{\scriptscriptstyle \cal A}= -I_{\scriptscriptstyle \cal A} d\,\tau_{\scriptscriptstyle \cal A}$  . This can be directly integrated and give the extinction law:

$$
I_{\lambda}(\tau_{\lambda})=I_{\lambda}(0)e^{-\tau_{\lambda}}
$$

- An optical depth of  $\;\; \tau_{_{\lambda}} = 0\;\;$  corresponds to no reduction in intensity.
- An optical depth of  $\,\tau_{_{\scriptscriptstyle \cal A}} = I\,$  corresponds to a reduction in intensity by a factor of e=2.7.

This defines the "optically thin" – "optically thick" limit.

• For large optical depths  $\mathop{\tau}\nolimits_{\lambda} >> I$  negligible intensity reaches the observer.

# **Emission coefficient and source function**

• We can also treat emission processes in the same way as absorption by defining an emission coefficient, ε<sub>λ</sub> [erg/s/cm<sup>3</sup>/str/cm] :

$$
dI_{\lambda} = \varepsilon_{\lambda} ds
$$

 $\bullet$  Physical processes that contribute to  $\bm{\epsilon}_{\lambda}$  are (i) real emission – the creation of photons and (ii) scattering of photons to the direction being considered.

• The ratio of emission to absorption is called the source function.

$$
S_{\lambda} = \varepsilon_{\lambda} / \alpha_{\lambda}
$$

# **Radiative Transfer Equation**

• We can now incorporate the effects of emission and absorption into a single equation giving the variation of the intensity along the line of sight. The combined expression is:

$$
dI_{\lambda} = -\alpha_{\lambda} I_{\lambda} ds + \varepsilon_{\lambda} ds
$$

or, in terms of the optical depth and the source function the equation becomes:

$$
\frac{dI_{\lambda}}{d\tau_{\lambda}} = -I_{\lambda} + S_{\lambda}
$$

• Once  $\alpha_{_\lambda}$  and  $\varepsilon_{_\lambda}$  are known it is relatively easy to solve the radiative transfer equation. When scattering though is present, solution of the radiative transfer equation is more difficult.

### **Monte Carlo – The method**



We select a **large** number N of **random numbers r** uniformly distributed in the interval [0, 1). Approximately, 0.2N will fall in the interval [0, 0.2), 0.3N in the interval [0.2, 0.5) and 0.5N in the interval [0.5, 1). **The value of a random number r uniquely determines one of the three outcomes**.

• More generally: If  $\mathsf{E}_1, \mathsf{E}_2, ..., \mathsf{E}_\mathsf{n}$  are n independent events with probabilities  $\mathsf{p}_1, \mathsf{p}_2, ..., \mathsf{p}_\mathsf{n}$  and  $p_1$ +  $p_2$ +...+ $p_n$ =1 then a random number r with

 $p_1+p_2+...+p_{i-1} \le r < p_1+p_2+...+p_i$ 

determines event Ei

• For continuous distributions:

If  $p(x)dx$  is the probability for event  $x(a \le x < b)$  to occur then

*a*

$$
\int\limits_{0}^{x} p(\xi) d\xi = r
$$

determines event  $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}$ uniquely.

### **Monte Carlo – Procedure**

- Step 1: Consider a photon that was emitted at position (x $_{\rm 0}$ , y $_{\rm 0}$ , z $_{\rm 0}$ ).
- Step 2: To select a random direction (θ, φ), pick a random number r and set φ=2πr and another random number r and set cosθ=2r-1.
- Step 3: To find a step s that a photon makes before an event (scattering or absorption) occurs, pick a random number r and use the probability density

 $p(\xi) = (1/l)e^{-\xi/l}$  $\xi$ ) = (1/l)e<sup>- $\xi$ </sup> ∫ = *s ap (* ξ *) d*ξ *r* where  $l\,$  is the mean free path of the medium in equation *l*

Step 4: The position of the event in space is at (x, y, z), where:

x= x<sub>0</sub>+ s sinθ cosφ y= y<sub>o</sub>+ s sinθ sinφ

z= z<sub>0</sub>+ s cosθ

Step 5: To determine the kind of event occurred, pick a random number r. If r<ω (the albedo) the event was a scattering (go to Step 6), else, it was an absorption (record the energy absorbed and go to Step1).



### **Monte Carlo – Procedure**

- Step 6: Determine the new direction (Θ, Φ), where Θ is the angle between the old and the new direction (to be determined from the Henyey-Greenstein phase function \*) and Φ=2 πr.
- Step 7: Convert ( Θ, Φ) into ( θ, φ) and go to Step 3.

Continue the loop described above until the photon is either absorbed or escapes from the absorbing medium.

\*
$$
p(\hat{n}', \hat{n}) = \frac{1 - g^2}{(1 + g^2 - 2g\hat{n}' \cdot \hat{n})^{3/2}}
$$

Henyey & Greenstein, 1941, ApJ, **93**, 70



### **Scattered intensities - The method**





 $I = I_0 + I_1 + I_2 + ...$ 

$$
I = I_0 + I_1 + I_2 + \dots
$$
  
\n
$$
I_0 = \sum_{all \Delta s} \eta(s) \Delta s e^{-\tau(s)}
$$
  
\n
$$
I_1 = \omega \sum_{all \Delta s} \left[ \kappa(s) \sum_{all \hat{n}} I_0(\hat{n}') p(\hat{n}', \hat{n}) (\Delta \Omega / 4\pi) \Delta s \right] e^{-\tau(s)}
$$
  
\n
$$
p(\hat{n}', \hat{n}) = \frac{1 - g^2}{(1 + g^2 - 2g \hat{n}' \cdot \hat{n})^{3/2}} \qquad \tau(s)
$$
  
\nHenyey & Greenstein, 1941, Apd, 93, 70  
\n
$$
\omega_v \approx 0.6 \qquad \underbrace{\sum_{l=1}^{3} \tau(l) \sum_{i=1}^{3} \tau(l) \sum_{l=1}^{3} \gamma(l) \sum_{l=1}^{3}
$$

Weingartner & Draine, 2001, ApJ, **548**, 296

#### *n* ≥ *2* Kylafis & Bahcall, 1987, ApJ, **317**, 637 **Scattered Intensities - Approximation**  $I = I_0 + I_1 + I_2 + ... = I_0 \left( I + \frac{I_1}{I_0} + \frac{I_2}{I_0} + ... \right) = I_0 \left( I + \frac{I_1}{I_0} + \frac{I_1}{I_0} + \frac{I_2}{I_1} + ... \right) = \frac{I_{n-1} - I_0}{n \ge 2} I_0 \left( I + \frac{I_1}{I_0} + \left( \frac{I_1}{I_0} \right)^2 + ... \right) =$  $I_o\!\!\left(\frac{I}{I\!-\!I_{{}_I}\!\!\neq\!I_{{}_0}}\right)$ *1nII*≈ −

# **Verification**

**1. The scattering is essentially forward**

$$
p(\hat{n}', \hat{n}) = \frac{1 - g^2}{(1 + g^2 - 2g\hat{n}' \cdot \hat{n})^{3/2}}
$$

Henyey & Greenstein, 1941, ApJ, **93**, 70



FIG. 3. Polar diagram of the phase function of equation (2), for  $\gamma =$  1. The more elongated curve is for  $g = +\frac{2}{3}$ ; the other, for  $g = +\frac{1}{3}$ . The radiation is incident on the particle from the left, as shown by the arrow.

### *n* ≥ *2* Kylafis & Bahcall, 1987, ApJ, **317**, 637 **Approximation**  $I = I_0 + I_1 + I_2 + ... = I_0 \left( I + \frac{I_1}{I_0} + \frac{I_2}{I_0} + ... \right) = I_0 \left( I + \frac{I_1}{I_0} + \frac{I_1}{I_0} + \frac{I_2}{I_1} + ... \right) = \frac{I_{n-1} - I_0}{n \ge 2} I_0 \left( I + \frac{I_1}{I_0} + \left( \frac{I_1}{I_0} \right)^2 + ... \right) =$  $I_o\!\!\left(\frac{I}{I\!-\!I_{{}_I}\!\!\neq\!I_{{}_0}}\right)$  $\frac{\displaystyle I_n}{\displaystyle I_n}\approx\frac{\displaystyle I_n}{\displaystyle I_n}$ −

# **Verification**



 $1.5$ 

#### *n* ≥ *2* Kylafis & Bahcall, 1987, ApJ, **317**, 637 **Approximation**  $I = I_0 + I_1 + I_2 + ... = I_0 \left( I + \frac{I_1}{I_0} + \frac{I_2}{I_0} + ... \right) = I_0 \left( I + \frac{I_1}{I_0} + \frac{I_1}{I_0} + \frac{I_2}{I_1} + ... \right) = \frac{I_{n-1} - I_0}{n \ge 2} I_0 \left( I + \frac{I_1}{I_0} + \left( \frac{I_1}{I_0} \right)^2 + ... \right) =$  $I_o\!\!\left(\frac{I}{I\!-\!I_{{}_I}\!\!\neq\!I_{{}_0}}\right)$ *1 n 1 n I I II*≈ −

# **Verification**

#### **2. Computation of the I<sub>2</sub> term**

$$
\tau^{e}(V) = 100
$$
  
\n
$$
\theta = 90^{\circ}
$$
  
\n
$$
h_{s} = 3kpc
$$
  
\n
$$
h_{d} = 3kpc
$$
  
\n
$$
z_{s} = 0.3kpc
$$
  
\n
$$
z_{d} = 0.15kpc
$$





# **Model application in spiral galaxies**



*Xilouris et al, 1999, A&A, 344, 868*

### **Code Comparison in galactic environments**







#### **SED of an accretion disk**

#### **Models with different inclination angle**



#### **Modeling the SED of NGC 1068**

